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Theorem (Ramsey 1930)
Every coloring $c:[\mathbb{N}]^{n} \rightarrow k$ admits an infinite homogeneous set $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.

- Here $[M]^{n}$ denotes the set of $n$-element subsets of $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$
- We identify $k$ with $\{0,1, \ldots, k-1\}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
- A set $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is called homogeneous for the coloring $c$, if there is some $i \in k$ such that $c(A)=i$ for all $A \in[M]^{n}$ - By $\mathcal{C}_{k}^{n}$ we denote the set of colorings $c:[\mathbb{N}]^{n} \rightarrow k$. - $c:[\mathbb{N}]^{n} \rightarrow k$ is called stable if $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} c(A \cup\{i\})$ exists for all $A \in[\mathbb{N}]^{n-1}$.
- We also consider the case $k=\mathbb{N}$, which corresponds to an unspecified but finite number of colors.
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## Theorem (Ramsey 1930)

Every coloring $c:[\mathbb{N}]^{n} \rightarrow k$ admits an infinite homogeneous set $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.

Specker (1969) proved that there are computable colorings of pairs without computable homogenous sets.
Jockusch (1972) showed the following now classical results:

- There is a computable $c:[\mathbb{N}]^{n} \rightarrow 2$ for each $n \geq 2$ without an infinite homogeneous set $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ that is computable in $\emptyset^{(n-1)}$.
- For every computable coloring $c:[\mathbb{N}]^{n} \rightarrow 2$ with $n \geq 1$ there exists an infinite homogeneous set $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $M^{\prime} \leq_{\mathrm{T}} \emptyset^{(n)}$.

Hence, the instancewise complexity of Ramsey's theorem $R T_{k}^{n}$ is $\Sigma_{n+1}^{0}$ in the arithmetical hierarchy.

## General Question on the Complexity

How complicated is Ramsey's theorem $\mathrm{RT}_{k}^{n}$ seen as a mathematical problem?

- How do computability properties of homogeneous sets do depend on computability properties of colorings?
- In this sense it has been studied for a long time, starting with Specker (1969), Jockusch (1972), Seetapun (1995), Cholak, Jockusch and Slaman (2001) and many others.
- How can Ramsey's theorem be classified in reverse mathematics (from a proof theoretic perspective)?
- How can Ramsey's theorem be classified in descriptive set theory?
- How can Ramsey's theorem be classified in the Weihrauch lattice?

The Weihrauch lattice refines the Borel hierarchy and can be seen as a uniform computability theoretic version of reverse mathem.

## Mathematical Problems

- We consider partial multi-valued functions $f: \subseteq X \rightrightarrows Y$ as mathematical problems.
- We assume that the underlying spaces $X$ and $X$ are represented spaces, hence notions of computability and continuity are well-defined.
- Every theorem of the form

$$
(\forall x \in X)(\exists y \in Y)(x \in D \Longrightarrow P(x, y))
$$

can be identified with $F: \subseteq X \rightrightarrows Y$ with $\operatorname{dom}(F):=D$ and $F(x):=\{y \in Y: P(x, y)\}$

- Example: Ramsey's Theorem is the mathematical problem $\mathrm{RT}_{k}^{n}: \mathcal{C}_{k}^{n} \rightrightarrows 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ with $R^{n}{ }_{k}^{n}(c):=\{M \subseteq \mathbb{N}: M$ is an infinite homogenous set for $c\}$. SRT ${ }_{k}^{n}$ denotes the restriction of $R T_{k}^{n}$ to stable colorings.
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## Examples of Mathematical Problems

- Weak Kőnig's Lemma is the mathematical problem

$$
W K L: \subseteq \operatorname{Tr} \rightrightarrows 2^{\mathbb{N}}, T \mapsto[T]
$$

with $\operatorname{dom}(W W K L):=\{T \in \operatorname{Tr}: T$ infinite $\}$.
$\mathrm{BWT}_{X}: \subseteq X^{\mathbb{N}} \rightrightarrows X,\left(x_{n}\right) \mapsto\left\{x \in X: x\right.$ is a cluster point of $\left.\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}$
where dom(BWT $)$ contains only sequences ( $x_{n}$ ) with a relatively compact range.
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- Bolzano Weierstraß Theorem is the mathematical problem
$\mathrm{BWT}_{X}: \subseteq X^{\mathbb{N}} \rightrightarrows X,\left(x_{n}\right) \mapsto\left\{x \in X: x\right.$ is a cluster point of $\left.\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}$
where $\operatorname{dom}\left(B W T_{X}\right)$ contains only sequences $\left(x_{n}\right)$ with a relatively compact range.
- $\lim _{X}: \subseteq X^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$ is called the limit problem of the space $X$.
- The cohesiveness problems $\mathrm{COH}:\left(2^{\mathbb{N}}\right)^{\mathbb{N}} \rightrightarrows 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is defined such that $\mathrm{COH}\left(\left(R_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\right)$ is the set of all infinite sets $A$ such that
$A \cap R_{i}$ is finite or $A \cap\left(\mathbb{N} \backslash R_{i}\right)$ is finite
for each $i$, i.e., $A \subseteq^{*} R_{i}$ or $A \subseteq^{*} \mathbb{N} \backslash R_{i}$ for each $i$.


## Weihrauch Reducibility

Let $f: \subseteq X \rightrightarrows Y$ and $g: \subseteq Z \rightrightarrows W$ be two mathematical problems.


- $f$ is called Weihrauch reducible to $g$, in symbols $f \leq_{W} g$, if there are computable $H, K: \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $H\langle i d, G K\rangle$ realizes $f$ whenever $G: \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ realizes $g$

For the "realization" we use representations $\delta: \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$ of the underyling objects.

Example: In order to prove $\mathrm{COH} \leq_{W} \mathrm{RT}_{2}^{2}$, one would have to utilize $\mathrm{RT}_{2}^{2}$ in order to compute COH .
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## Algebraic Operations in the Weihrauch Lattice

Let $f, g$ be two mathematical problems. We consider:

- $f \times g$ : both problems are available in parallel
- $f \sqcup g$ : both problems are available, but for each instance one has to choose which one is used
- $f \sqcap g$ : given an instance of $f$ and $g$, only one of the solutions will be provided
- $f * g: f$ and $g$ can be used consecutively (Comp. Product)
- $g \rightarrow f$ : this is the simplest problem $h$ such that $f$ can be reduced to $g * h$
- $f^{*}: f$ can be used any given finite number of times in parallel (Star)
- $\widehat{f}: f$ can be used countably many times in parallel (Parallelization)
- $f^{\prime}: f$ can be used on the limit of the input


## Ramsey's Theorem in the Weihrauch Lattice

Theorem (Cholak, Jockusch, Slaman 2009)
$\mathrm{RT}_{k}^{n}$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{SRT}_{k}^{n} \wedge \mathrm{COH}$ over $\mathrm{RCA}_{0}$ for all $n, k \geq 2$.
Corollary
$\mathrm{SRT}_{k}^{n} \cup \mathrm{COH} \leq \mathrm{W} \mathrm{R}_{k}^{n} \leq_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{SRT}_{k}^{n} * \mathrm{COH}$ for all $n, k \geq 2$.
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## A Separation Technique for Jumps

## Theorem

$\left.\mathrm{RT}_{2}^{1^{\prime}}\right|_{\mathrm{W}} B W T_{2}^{\prime}$.
 $\mathrm{BWT}_{2}^{\prime} \not \mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{RT}_{2}^{1}$ we have used the following theorem (note that $B W T_{2} \equiv_{W} R T_{2}^{1}$ )
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## Products and Parallellization of Ramsey

## Theorem

$R \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{N}}^{n} \times \mathrm{RT}_{k}^{n+1} \leq_{\mathrm{sW}} \mathrm{RT}_{k+1}^{n+1}$ for all $n, k \geq 1$.
Proof. (Idea.) Given a coloring $c_{1}:[\mathbb{N}]^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with finite range and a coloring $c_{2}:[\mathbb{N}]^{n+1} \rightarrow k$ we construct a coloring $c^{+}:[\mathbb{N}]^{n+1} \rightarrow k+1$ as follows:

$$
c^{+}(A):= \begin{cases}c_{2}(A) & \text { if } A \text { is homogeneous for } c_{1} \\ k & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for all $A \in[\mathbb{N}]^{n+1}$. Then $\mathrm{RT}_{2}^{n+1}\left(c^{+}\right) \subseteq \mathrm{RT}_{\mathbb{N}}^{n}\left(c_{1}\right) \cap \mathrm{RT}_{k}^{n+1}\left(c_{2}\right)$ and hence the desired reduction follows.

Corollary
$\left(\mathrm{RT}_{k}^{n}\right)^{*} \leq_{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{RT}_{\mathbb{N}}^{n} \leq_{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{RT}_{2}^{n+1}$ for all $n, k \geq 1$
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## Parallelization of Ramsey

## Theorem

$\widehat{\mathrm{RT}_{k}^{n}} \leq_{\mathrm{sW}} \mathrm{RT}_{2}^{n+2}$ for all $n, k \geq 1$.
Proof. (Idea.) Given a sequence $\left(c_{i}\right)_{i}$ of colorings $c_{i}:[\mathbb{N}]^{n} \rightarrow k$, we compute a sequence $\left(d_{m}\right)_{m}$ of colorings $d_{m} \in \mathcal{C}_{k^{m}}^{n}$ that capture the products $\left(\mathrm{RT}_{k}^{n}\right)^{m}$ and a sequence $\left(d_{m}^{+}\right)_{m}$ of colorings $d_{m}^{+}:[\mathbb{N}]^{n+1} \rightarrow 2$ by

$$
d_{m}^{+}(A):= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } A \text { is homogeneous for } d_{m} \\ 1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for all $A \in[\mathbb{N}]^{n+1}$. Now, in a final step we compute a coloring $c:[\mathbb{N}]^{n+2} \rightarrow 2$ with

$$
c(\{m\} \cup A):=d_{m}^{+}(A)
$$

for all $A \in[\mathbb{N}]^{n+1}$ and $m<\min (A)$. Given an infinite
homogeneous set $M \in \mathrm{RT}_{2}^{n+2}(c)$ we determine a sequence $\left(M_{i}\right)_{i}$ as follows: for each fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we first search for a number $m>i$
in $M$ and then we let $M_{i}:=\{x \in M: x>m\}$

## Parallelization of Ramsey

## Theorem

$\widehat{\mathrm{RT}_{k}^{n}} \leq_{\mathrm{sW}} \mathrm{RT}_{2}^{n+2}$ for all $n, k \geq 1$.
Proof. (Idea.) Given a sequence $\left(c_{i}\right)_{i}$ of colorings $c_{i}:[\mathbb{N}]^{n} \rightarrow k$, we compute a sequence $\left(d_{m}\right)_{m}$ of colorings $d_{m} \in \mathcal{C}_{k^{m}}^{n}$ that capture the products $\left(R T_{k}^{n}\right)^{m}$ and a sequence $\left(d_{m}^{+}\right)_{m}$ of colorings $d_{m}^{+}:[\mathbb{N}]^{n+1} \rightarrow 2$ by

$$
d_{m}^{+}(A):= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } A \text { is homogeneous for } d_{m} \\ 1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for all $A \in[\mathbb{N}]^{n+1}$. Now, in a final step we compute a coloring $c:[\mathbb{N}]^{n+2} \rightarrow 2$ with

$$
c(\{m\} \cup A):=d_{m}^{+}(A)
$$

for all $A \in[\mathbb{N}]^{n+1}$ and $m<\min (A)$. Given an infinite homogeneous set $M \in \mathrm{RT}_{2}^{n+2}(c)$ we determine a sequence $\left(M_{i}\right)_{i}$ as follows: for each fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we first search for a number $m>i$ in $M$ and then we let $M_{i}:=\{x \in M: x>m\}$.

## Weak Kőnig's Lemma and Ramsey's Theorem

Theorem
$\widehat{\mathrm{RT}_{k}^{n}} \leq_{\mathrm{sW}} \mathrm{RT}_{2}^{n+2}$ for all $n, k \geq 1$.

## Corollary

$\mathrm{WKL}^{\prime} \leq_{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{RT}_{2}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{WKL}^{(n)} \leq_{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{SRT}_{2}^{n+2}$ for $n \geq 2$.
The first statement was also proved independently by Hirschfeldt and Jockusch (2016).

Corolary
$\mathrm{PA} \not \leq \mathrm{W} \mathrm{SRT}_{2}^{2} * \mathrm{COH}$ and in particular $\mathrm{WKL} \not \leq \mathrm{W} \mathrm{RT}_{2}^{2}$.
This follows from results of Liu (2012). We note that PA <w WKL
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## Proposition (B., Hendtlass and Kreuzer 2017)
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## Weak Kőnig's Lemma and Ramsey's Theorem

## Theorem

$$
\widehat{\mathrm{RT}_{k}^{n}} \leq_{\mathrm{sW}} \mathrm{RT}_{2}^{n+2} \text { for all } n, k \geq 1
$$

## Corollary

$\mathrm{WKL}^{\prime} \leq_{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{RT}_{2}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{WKL}^{(n)} \leq_{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{SRT}_{2}^{n+2}$ for $n \geq 2$.
The first statement was also proved independently by Hirschfeldt and Jockusch (2016).

Corollary
$\mathrm{PA} \not \mathbb{L}_{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{SRT}_{2}^{2} * \mathrm{COH}$ and in particular $\mathrm{WKL} \not \mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{RT}_{2}^{2}$.

## Question

Is there a simple proof of $\mathrm{WKL} \nsubseteq \mathrm{W} \mathrm{RT}_{2}^{2}$ ?
To prove that there is no uniform reduction should potentially be much simpler to prove than the non-uniform result.

## The Squashing Theorem

Theorem (Dorais, Dzhafarov, Hirst, Mileti and Shafer 2016)
Let $f, g: \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and let $f$ be finitely tolerant and total. Then $g \times f \leq_{W} f \Longrightarrow \hat{g} \leq_{W} f$.

- $f: \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is called finitely tolerant if there is a
computable $T: \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that for all $p, q \in \operatorname{dom}(f)$, $r \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}, k \in \mathbb{N}$
- $(\forall n \geq k) p(n)=q(n)$ and

- $\mathrm{RT}_{k}^{n}, \mathrm{RT}_{\mathbb{N}}^{n}$ are finitely tolerant.

A similar version of the souashing theorem also holds for $\leq$ sw and Dorais, Dzhafarov, Hirst, Mileti and Shafer (2016) proved
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- $R T_{k}^{n}, R T_{\mathbb{N}}^{n}$ are finitely tolerant.

A similar version of the squashing theorem also holds for $\leq_{s W}$ and Dorais, Dzhafarov, Hirst, Mileti and Shafer (2016) proved $\mathrm{RT}_{k}^{n}<_{\mathrm{sW}} \mathrm{RT}_{k+1}^{n}$ for all $n, k \geq 1$.
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- $f: \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is called finitely tolerant if there is a computable $T: \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that for all $p, q \in \operatorname{dom}(f)$, $r \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}, k \in \mathbb{N}$ :
- $(\forall n \geq k) p(n)=q(n)$ and
- $r \in f(q) \Longrightarrow T\langle r, k\rangle \in f(p)$.
- $R T_{k}^{n}, \mathrm{RT}_{\mathbb{N}}^{n}$ are finitely tolerant.

A similar version of the squashing theorem also holds for $\leq_{\mathrm{sW}}$ and Dorais, Dzhafarov, Hirst, Mileti and Shafer (2016) proved $\mathrm{RT}_{k}^{n}<_{\mathrm{sW}} \mathrm{RT}_{k+1}^{n}$ for all $n, k \geq 1$.

Theorem (Rakotoniaina, Hirschfeldt \& Jockusch, Patey 2015)
$\mathrm{RT}_{k}^{n}<\mathrm{W} \mathrm{RT}_{k+1}^{n}$ for all $n, k \geq 1$.
Proof. (B. and Rakotoniaina 2015)

- $\mathrm{RT}_{2}^{n} \times \mathrm{RT}_{k}^{n+1} \leq_{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{RT}_{k+1}^{n+1}$ by the Product Theorem.
- $\mathrm{RT}_{2}^{n} \times \mathrm{RT}_{k}^{n+1} \leq_{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{RT}_{k}^{n+1}$ implies $\widehat{\mathrm{RT}_{2}^{n}} \leq_{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{RT}_{k}^{n+1}$ by the Squashing Theorem which leads to a contradiction: $\lim ^{(n-1)} \leq_{W} \mathrm{WKL}^{(n)} \equiv_{\mathrm{W}} \widehat{\mathrm{RT}_{2}^{n}} \leq_{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{RT}_{k}^{n+1}$
- $\mathrm{RT}_{2}^{n} \times \mathrm{RT}_{k}^{n+1} \not \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{RT}_{k}^{n+1}$ for all $n, k \geq 1$ follows.
- $\mathrm{RT}_{k}^{n+1}<\mathrm{W} \mathrm{RT}_{k+1}^{n+1}$ for all $n, k \geq 1$ follows.


## Products and Colors

Can colors make up for products?
$-\mathrm{R} T_{k}^{n} \times \mathrm{RT}_{l}^{n} \leq_{W} R T_{k l}^{n}$ is easy to see, hence

- $\prod_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{RT}_{k_{i}}^{n} \leq \mathrm{W} \mathrm{RT}_{\prod_{i=1}^{m} k_{i}}^{n}$ follows.
- Dzhafarov, Goh, Hirschfeldt, Patey, Pauly (2018) proved that the upper bound is optimal in the case of $n=1$.
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Corollary
$\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{RT}_{k}^{n}\right)^{i} \leq \mathrm{W} \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{R} T_{j}^{n}$ for $n \geq 1, k \geq 2$.
Here $\equiv{ }_{W}$ holds at least in the case of $n=1$
Can products make up for colors?

## Quection

Does $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{R} T_{k}^{n}\right)^{i} \equiv_{\mathrm{W}} \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{R} T_{j}^{n}$ hold for all for $n \geq 1, k \geq 2$ ?
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