

SANE BOUNDS ON SOME VDW-TYPE NUMBERS

A collaboration spanning many papers and authors.

<http://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/sane/sane.html>.

Daniel Apon- U of Ark (grad student)

Richard Beigel- Temple U

Stephen Fenner- U of SC

William Gasarch- U of MD

Charles Glover- U of MD (grad student)

Clyde Kruskal- U of MD

Justin Kruskal- U of MD (was HS, now ugrad)

Nils Molina- MIT (was HS, now ugrad)

Russell Moriarty- U of MD (grad student)

Anand Oza- MIT (was HS, now ugrad)

Jim Purtle- U of MD

Rohan Puttagunta (was HS, now ugrad)

Semmy Purewal- Col. of Charleston

BROAD RESEARCH PLAN

- ▶ **VDW-type theorems** Often show f exists by showing it's bounded by another function F (E.g., VDW numbers [Go,GRS,Sh1,VDW]).

BROAD RESEARCH PLAN

- ▶ **VDW-type theorems** Often show f exists by showing it's bounded by another function F (E.g., VDW numbers [Go,GRS,Sh1,VDW]).
- ▶ Often F is **INSANE!!!!!!!!!!**

BROAD RESEARCH PLAN

- ▶ **VDW-type theorems** Often show f exists by showing it's bounded by another function F (E.g., VDW numbers [Go,GRS,Sh1,VDW]).
- ▶ Often F is **INSANE!!!!!!!!!!**
- ▶ **Big Open Question:** What is the true growth rate of f ?

BROAD RESEARCH PLAN

- ▶ **VDW-type theorems** Often show f exists by showing it's bounded by another function F (E.g., VDW numbers [Go,GRS,Sh1,VDW]).
- ▶ Often F is **INSANE!!!!!!!!!!**
- ▶ **Big Open Question:** What is the true growth rate of f ?
- ▶ **Our Angle:** *variants* and *special cases* of VDW-type theorems.

SQUARES AND RECTANGLES

Part I: Squares and Rectangles

Fenner, Gasarch, Glover, Purewal [FGGP]

Molina, Oza, Puttagunta (Mentor: Gasarch) [MOP]

Apon and Purtilo (Question Asker: Gasarch) (unpublished)

SQUARES HARD!. RECTANGLES?

Theorem

(Gallai-Witt Thm, $[R1, R2, W, GRS]$) For all c , there exists $G = G(c)$ such that for every c -coloring of $[G] \times [G]$ there exists a monochromatic square.

...
...	R	...	R	...
...	\vdots	...	\vdots	...
...	R	...	R	...
...

SQUARES HARD!. RECTANGLES?

Theorem

(Gallai-Witt Thm, $[R1, R2, W_i, GRS]$) For all c , there exists $G = G(c)$ such that for every c -coloring of $[G] \times [G]$ there exists a monochromatic square.

...
...	R	...	R	...
...	\vdots	...	\vdots	...
...	R	...	R	...
...

1. Known Bounds on G HUGE!
2. What if we look at Rectangles instead?

UPPER AND LOWER BOUNDS

$G_{n,m}$ is the grid $[n] \times [m]$.

1. If $G_{n,m}$ is c -colorable then the color that appears the most often is a rectangle free set of size at least $\geq \lceil nm/c \rceil$.

UPPER AND LOWER BOUNDS

$G_{n,m}$ is the grid $[n] \times [m]$.

1. If $G_{n,m}$ is c -colorable then the color that appears the most often is a rectangle free set of size at least $\geq \lceil nm/c \rceil$.
2. **To Prove grid NOT c -colorable:** If every rectangle free subset of $G_{n,m}$ has size $\leq \lceil nm/c \rceil - 1$ then $G_{n,m}$ is NOT c -colorable.

UPPER AND LOWER BOUNDS

$G_{n,m}$ is the grid $[n] \times [m]$.

1. If $G_{n,m}$ is c -colorable then the color that appears the most often is a rectangle free set of size at least $\geq \lceil nm/c \rceil$.
2. **To Prove grid NOT c -colorable:** If every rectangle free subset of $G_{n,m}$ has size $\leq \lceil nm/c \rceil - 1$ then $G_{n,m}$ is NOT c -colorable.
3. **Find colorings:** Comb, Proj Geom, Finite Fields, Tournaments

UPPER AND LOWER BOUNDS

$G_{n,m}$ is the grid $[n] \times [m]$.

1. If $G_{n,m}$ is c -colorable then the color that appears the most often is a rectangle free set of size at least $\geq \lceil nm/c \rceil$.
2. **To Prove grid NOT c -colorable:** If every rectangle free subset of $G_{n,m}$ has size $\leq \lceil nm/c \rceil - 1$ then $G_{n,m}$ is NOT c -colorable.
3. **Find colorings:** Comb, Proj Geom, Finite Fields, Tournaments
4. **Express results:** $(\forall c)(\exists OBS_c)$ such that

$G_{n,m}$ c -col iff $G_{n,m}$ does not contain any element of OBS_c .

OBS₂ AND OBS₃

1. $\text{OBS}_2 = \{G_{3,7}, G_{5,5}, G_{7,3}\}$.
2. $\text{OBS}_3 = \{G_{4,19}, G_{5,16}, G_{7,13}, G_{10,11}, G_{11,10}, G_{13,7}, G_{16,5}, G_{19,4}\}$.
3. OBS_4 contains
 $G_{41,5}, G_{31,6}, G_{29,7}, G_{25,9}, G_{9,25}, G_{7,29}, G_{6,31}, G_{5,41}$.

Definition

OBS_c^s is obstruction set for c -coloring grids and avoiding getting s monochromatic rectangles (can be diff colors).

1. $OBS_2^2 = \{G_{3,8}, G_{4,7}, G_{5,5}, G_{7,4}, G_{8,3}\}$
2. $OBS_2^3 = \{G_{3,9}, G_{4,8}, G_{5,6}, G_{6,5}, G_{8,4}, G_{9,3}\}$
3. $OBS_2^4 = \{G_{3,10}, G_{4,8}, G_{5,6}, G_{6,5}, G_{8,4}, G_{10,3}\}$
4. $OBS_2^5 = \{G_{3,11}, G_{4,9}, G_{5,7}, G_{6,6}, G_{7,5}, G_{9,4}, G_{11,3}\}$
5. $OBS_2^6 = \{G_{3,12}, G_{4,9}, G_{5,7}, G_{6,6}, G_{7,5}, G_{9,4}, G_{12,3}\}$
6. $OBS_3^2 = \{G_{4,20}, G_{5,16}, G_{7,13}, G_{10,11}, G_{11,10}, G_{13,7}, G_{16,5}, G_{20,4}\}$

OPEN QUESTIONS

1. Is $G_{17,17}$ 4-colorable? (Other 4-col also open.)
2. What is OBS_4 ? OBS_5 ?
3. Better Tools.

CASH PRIZE!

The first person to email me both (1) plaintext, and (2) LaTeX, of a 4-coloring of the 17×17 grid that has no monochromatic rectangles will receive \$289.00.

SQUARES- WHAT IS KNOWN?

B	B	B	B	B	B	R	B	B	R	R	R	R
B	R	B	R	R	B	B	R	B	R	B	R	B
B	B	R	R	B	B	R	R	R	R	B	B	R
R	R	R	B	R	B	B	B	R	B	B	R	R
R	B	R	R	R	R	R	B	B	R	B	B	B
B	R	R	B	B	R	B	B	R	R	R	R	B
R	R	B	R	B	B	R	B	R	B	R	B	B
R	B	B	B	B	R	R	R	R	B	B	R	B
R	B	R	R	B	B	B	R	B	B	R	R	R
B	B	B	R	R	R	B	B	R	B	R	B	R
B	R	B	R	B	R	R	B	B	B	B	R	R
R	R	B	B	B	R	B	R	B	R	B	B	R
B	R	R	B	R	R	R	R	B	B	R	B	B

2-coloring of $G_{13,13}$ without mono squares. Is better known? I ask non-rhetorically.

RADO'S THEOREM

Part 2: Rado's Theorem [R1,R2,GRS]

Gasarch and Moriarty [GM]

(See [GRS]) Extended VDW theorem:

Lemma

For all $c, k, s \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $E = EW(k, s, c)$ for any c -coloring of $[E]$, there exists a, d such that

$$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d, \text{ AND } sd$$

are the same color.

RADO'S THM (Traditional)

Theorem

Let $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $(\exists J)[\sum_{i \in J} b_i = 0]$ then, for all c there exists $R = R(\vec{b}, c)$ such that for all c -colorings of $[R]$ there exists MONO SOLUTION.

Example: 4-coloring to get mono solution of $2x_1 + 3x_2 - 5x_3 + 8x_4 + x_5$.

$$x_1 = a + e_1d, \quad x_2 = a + e_2d, \quad x_3 = a + e_3d, \quad x_4 = x_5 = sd.$$

$$2x_1 + 3x_2 - 5x_3 + 8x_4 + x_5 = (2+3-5)a + (2e_1 + 3e_2 - 5e_3)d + 9sd = 0$$

Can choose e_1, e_2, e_3 to make $2e_1 + 3e_2 - 5e_3 + 9s = 0$.

Note: Bound used Extended VDW number- LARGE!!!

Note: RADO's theorem is actually iff.

BETTER BOUNDS

KEY: Don't really need FULL Extended VDW. Will just use WEAK EXT VDW:

Lemma

For all $c, L, s \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $WEW = WEW(m, s, c)$ such that for all c -colorings of $[WEW]$ there exists a, d such that

$$a, a + Ld, \text{ AND } sd$$

are the same color.

WEAK EXT VDW IMPLIES RADO

Theorem

Let $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $(\exists J)[\sum_{i \in J} b_i = 0]$ then, for all c there exists $R = R(\vec{b}, c)$ such that for all c -colorings of $[R]$ there exists MONO SOLUTION. Can take $R = \text{WEW}(\max(b_i), -\sum_{i \notin J} b_i, c)$

Example: 4-coloring to get mono solution of $2x_1 + 3x_2 - 5x_3 + 8x_4 + x_5$.

$$x_1 = a, \quad x_2 = x_3 = a + Ld, \quad x_4 = x_5 = sd.$$

$$2x_1 + 3x_2 - 5x_3 + 8x_4 + x_5 = (2+3-5)a + (3-5)Ld + 9sd = -2Ld + 9sd.$$

Can choose L, s to make $-2L + 9s = 0$.

Note: Much BETTER Upper Bounds! Can do better with LCM's.

IS THIS AN IMPROVEMENT?

Need better bounds on $WEW(L, s, c)$.

Theorem

$WEW(L, 1, 2) \leq 1 + 3L + L^2$. ($a, a + d, d$ same color)

Proof Idea: Cases and forced colorings.

Example: if 1 is RED then $1 + L$ is BLUE else $a = 1, d = L$ works.

SANE BOUNDS ON RADO NUMBERS

Num Colors	equation	VDW-bounds	new bound
2	$x - y + z$	$W(3, 2) = 9$	5
2	$x - y + 2z$	$W(7, 2) \geq 3703$	11
2	$x - y + 3z$	$W(13, 2) \geq 2^{14}$	19
2	$x - y + 4z$	$W(21, 2) \geq 2^{23}$	49
2	$x - y + 5z$	$W(31, 2) \geq 2^{32}$	101
3	$x - y + z$	$W(W(3, 3) + 1, 3) = W(28, 3)$	14
3	$x - y + 2z$	$W(2W(7, 3) + 1, 3)$	75
3	$x - y + 3z$	$W(3W(13, 3) + 1, 3)$	253
4	$x - y + z$	$W(W(W(3, 4) + 1, 4) + 1, 4)$	61

OPEN PROBLEMS

1. Get better upper bounds on $WEW(L, s, c)$ and hence on Rado Numbers. Especially $c \geq 3$.
2. Get a handle on DISTINCT-Rado: all of the x_i distinct.

POLYNOMIAL VDW THEOREM

Part III: Better Bounds on the Poly VDW numbers [BL,Sh2,Wa].

Gasarch, C. Kruskal, J. Kruskal [GKK]

Molina, Oza, Puttagunta (Mentor: Gasarch) [MOP]

Beigel and Gasarch [BG]

POLY VDW THM (One Equation Case)

Theorem

For all $p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that $p(0) = 0$, for all c , there exists $W_{\text{poly}} = W_{\text{poly}}(p, c)$ such that for all c -colorings of $[W_{\text{poly}}]$ there exists a, d such that

$$a, a + p(d)$$

are all the same color.

How to prove?

1. Bergelson and Leibman [BL]. No bounds! (Debatable)
2. Walters [Wa]. Elem. ω^ω induction. Bounds INSANE.
3. Shelah [Sh]. Primitive Recursive.

Theorem

If p is a poly of deg n and $p(0) = 0$ then

1. $W_{\text{poly}}(p(x), 2) \leq 2 \max\{|p(1)|, \dots, |p(n+1)|\}$.
2. $W_{\text{poly}}(p(x), 2) \leq \min\{|p(2|p(i))| : i \in N\}$.

POLY VDW- 3-COL: UMCP MATH COMP

If $\{1, 2, \dots, 2006\}$ is 3-colored then there exists two numbers, a square apart, same color. Let $COL : [2006] \rightarrow \{R, B, G\}$. Fix $x \geq 10$.

1. $COL(x)$, $COL(x + 16)$, $COL(x - 9)$ ALL DIFFERENT!
2. Assume $COL(x) = R$, $COL(x + 16) = B$, $COL(x - 9) = G$.

$$COL(x + 7) = COL((x + 16) - 9) \neq COL(x + 16) = B.$$

$$COL(x + 7) = COL((x - 9) + 16) \neq COL(x - 9) = G.$$

$$(\forall x \geq 10)[COL(x) \neq COL(x + 7)]!!$$

$$COL(10) = COL(10+7) = COL(10+2 \times 7) = \dots = COL(10+7 \times 7).$$

Can replace 2006 with $10 + 7 \times 7 = 59$. With more work: 29 is optimal.

KEY TO THAT PROOF

Show that if $\{1, 2, \dots, 2006\}$ is 3-colored then there exists two numbers a square apart that are the same color.

We used

$$16 + 9 = 25.$$

For general $p(x)$ we will need to find x, y, z such that

$$p(x) + p(y) = p(z).$$

1. Approach can't work for $p(x) = x^3$.
2. We will only work with quadratics.

(ALMOST) GENERAL QUADRATIC CASE

Theorem

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that a divides b . Then

$$W_{\text{poly}}(ax^2 + bx, 3) \leq 72b^2/a + 1.$$

Proof.

Let

$$x = 5b/a, y = 6b/a, z = 8b/a.$$

$$p(x) = a(5b/a)^2 + b(5b/a) = 25b^2/a + 5b^2/a = 30b^2/a$$

$$p(y) = a(6b/a)^2 + b(6b/a) = 36b^2/a + 6b^2/a = 42b^2/a$$

$$p(z) = a(8b/a)^2 + b(8b/a) = 64b^2/a + 8b^2/a = 72b^2/a$$

$$p(x) + p(y) = p(z).$$

Still more to do, but this is key ingredient. □

GENERAL QUADRATIC CASE

Theorem

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $m = \max\{a, b\}$. Then

$$W_{\text{poly}}(ax^2 + bx, 3) \leq O(m^7).$$

Proof.

You don't want to see the proof. □

WHAT IF CONSTANT TERM NONZERO? (I)

POLY VDW had hypothesis that polys had zero-constant. Why?

$p(x) = 1$. 2-coloring is *RBRB*....

But what about OTHER polys with non-zero constant?

Does Poly VDW hold? What are bounds?

WHAT IF CONSTANT TERM NONZERO (II)?

1. $W_{\text{poly}}(x^2 + a, 2) = O(a^2)$.
2. If $a \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ then $W_{\text{poly}}(x^2 + a, 3) = \infty$.
3. If $a \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ then $W_{\text{poly}}(x^2 + a, 3) = O(a^3)$.
4. If $a \equiv 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 22\}$ then $W_{\text{poly}}(x^2 + a, 4) = \infty$.

SQUARE DIFF FREE SETS AND $W_{\text{poly}}(x^2, c)$ (I)

1. A set A is *Square Diff Free* if there is no $x, y \in A$ such that $x - y$ is a square.
2. $\text{sdf}(n)$ is the largest sdf set of $[n]$.
3. If A is any subset of $[n]$ then there exists $\frac{n \log n}{|A|}$ translates of it that cover $[n]$ (Prob argument [CFL]).
4. If $c \geq O\left(\frac{n \log n}{\text{sdf}(n)}\right)$ then $W_{\text{poly}}(x^2, c) \geq n$.
5. If $c \leq \Omega\left(\frac{n}{\text{sdf}(n)}\right)$ then $W_{\text{poly}}(x^2, c) \leq n$.

SQUARE DIFF FREE SETS AND $W_{\text{poly}}(x^2, c)$ (II)

1. Ruzsa [Ru] showed

$$\text{sdf}(n) \geq \Omega(n^{\log_{65} 7}) \geq \Omega(n^{0.733077\dots}).$$

2. Beigel and Gasarch showed

$$\text{sdf}(n) \geq \Omega(n^{0.5(1+\log_{205} 12)}) \geq \Omega(n^{0.7334\dots}).$$

3. Pintz, Steiger, and Szemerédi [PSS, Wo] showed

$$\text{sdf}(n) \leq \frac{n}{(\log n)^{O(\log \log \log \log n)}}.$$

SQUARE DIFF FREE SETS AND $W_{\text{poly}}(x^2, c)$ (II)

Using Beigel-Gasarch [BG] and Pintz-Steiger-Szemerédi [PSS]

$$\Omega(c^{3.75}) \leq W_{\text{poly}}(x^2, c) \leq 2^{c^{O(1/(\log \log \log \log c)^\epsilon)}}.$$

PART III: OPEN QUESTIONS

1. Obtain smaller values for $W_{\text{poly}}(ax^2 + bx, 3)$ (e.g., $O(m^6)$).
2. Obtain SANE values for $W_{\text{poly}}(ax^3 + bx^2 + cx, 3)$.
3. Obtain SANE values for $W_{\text{poly}}(ax^2 + bx, 4)$.
4. Obtain SANE values for $W_{\text{poly}}(p_1(x), \dots, p_k(x), 2)$.
5. Obtain SANE values for $W_{\text{poly}}(p_1(x), \dots, p_k(x), 3)$
6. Study more $W_{\text{poly}}(f, c)$ where f is poly with constant term.
7. Start Study of $W_{\text{poly}}(f, c)$ where f is non poly functions.

- BG** R. Beigel and W. Gasarch. Square difference free sets of size $\omega(n^{.7334\dots})$, 2011. Unpublished manuscript.
- BL** V. Bergelson and A. Leibman. Polynomial extensions of van der Waerden's and Szemerédi's theorems. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, pages 725–753, 1996.
<http://www.math.ohio-state.edu/~vitaly/> or
<http://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/vdw/vdw.html>.
- CFG** A. Chandra, M. Furst, and R. Lipton. Multiparty protocols. In *Proceedings of the Fifteenth Annual ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing*, Boston MA, pages 94–99, 1983.
<http://portal.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=808737>.

Bibliography (Cont)

- FGGP** S. Fenner, W. Gasarch, C. Glover, and S. Purewal. Rectangle free colorings of grids, 2009. Unpublished manuscript.
- GKK** W. Gasarch, C. Kruskal, and J. Kruskal. Sane bounds on some polynomial van der Warden numbers, 2009. Unpublished manuscript.
- GM** W. Gasarch and R. Moriarty. Better bounds on the Rado numbers, 2011. Unpublished manuscript.

Bibliography (Cont)

- Go** W. Gowers. A new proof of Szemerédi's theorem. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 11:465–588, 2001.
<http://www.dpmms.cam.ac.uk/~wtg10/papers/html> or
<http://www.springerlink.com>.
- GRS** R. Graham, B. Rothchild, and J. Spencer. *Ramsey Theory*. Wiley, 1990.
- MOP** W. Gasarch, N. Molina, A. Oza, and R. Puttagunta. Sane bounds on van der Warden type numbers, 2009. Unpublished manuscript.

Bibliography (Cont)

- PSS** J. Pintz, W. Steiger, and E. Szemerédi. On sets of natural numbers whose difference set contains no squares. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 37:219–231, 1988.
<http://jllms.oxfordjournals.org/>.
- R1** R. Rado. Studien zur kombinatorik. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, pages 424–480, 1933. (Includes Gallai's theorem and credits him.)
<http://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/vdw/vdw.html>.
- R2** R. Rado. Notes on combinatorial analysis. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, pages 122–160, 1943. (Includes Gallai's theorem and credits him.)
<http://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/vdw/vdw.html>.

Bibliography (Cont)

- Sh1** S. Shelah. Primitive recursive bounds for van der Waerden numbers. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, pages 6e3–697, 1988. <http://www.jstor.org/view/08940347/di963031/96p0024f/0>.
- Sh2** Shelah. A partition theorem. *Scientiae Math Japonicae*, pages 413–438, 2002. Paper 679 at the Shelah Archive:
- VDW** B. van der Waerden. Beweis einer Baudetschen Vermutung. *Nieuw Arch. Wisk.*, 15:212–216, 1927.

Bibliography (Cont)

- Wa** M. Walters. Combinatorial proofs of the polynomial van der Waerden theorem and the polynomial Hales-Jewett theorem. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 61:1–12, 2000. <http://jllms.oxfordjournals.org/cgi/reprint/61/1/1> or <http://jllms.oxfordjournals.org/> or or <http://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/vdw/vdw.html>.
- Wi** Witt, Ein Kombinatorischer satz de elementargeometrie, *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 6:261–262, 1951, Contains Gallai-Witt Theorem, though Gallai had it first so it is now called Gallai's theorem. <http://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/vdw/vdw.html>,