

AN INFINITE SELF DUAL RAMSEY THEOREM

Dimitris Vlitas

MALOA

May 26, 2011

Let K and L be finite linear orders. By an rigid surjection $t : L \rightarrow K$ we mean a surjection with the additional property that images of initial segments of the domain are also initial segments of the range. We call a pair (t, i) a connection between K and L if $t : L \rightarrow K, i : K \rightarrow L$ such that for all $x \in L$:

$$t(i(x)) = x \text{ and } \forall y \leq i(x) \Rightarrow t(y) \leq x.$$

It is easy to see that if (t, i) is a connection then t is a rigid surjection and i is an increasing injection. Similarly we define (s, j) a connection between ω and K , if $s : \omega \rightarrow K, j : K \rightarrow \omega$ such that for all $x \in L$:

$$s(j(x)) = x \text{ and } \forall y \leq j(x) \Rightarrow s(y) \leq x.$$

Once more if (s, j) is a connection then s is a rigid surjection and j is an increasing injection.

Now given A a finite, possibly empty alphabet, we consider the corresponding spaces

Now given A a finite, possibly empty alphabet, we consider the corresponding spaces

$F_{\omega,\omega}^A = \{ (r, c) : r : \omega \rightarrow \omega \cup A, c : \omega \rightarrow \omega, c \text{ is an increasing injection: } r(c(x)) = x \text{ and } y \leq c(x) \Rightarrow r(y) \leq x \}$

Now given A a finite, possibly empty alphabet, we consider the corresponding spaces

$F_{\omega,\omega}^A = \{ (r, c) : r : \omega \rightarrow \omega \cup A, c : \omega \rightarrow \omega, c \text{ is an increasing injection: } r(c(x)) = x \text{ and } y \leq c(x) \Rightarrow r(y) \leq x \}$

$F_{\omega,K}^A = \{ (s, j) : s : \omega \rightarrow K \cup A, j : K \rightarrow \omega : j \text{ is an increasing injection such that } s(j(x)) = x, y \leq j(x) \Rightarrow s(y) \leq x \}$.

Now given A a finite, possibly empty alphabet, we consider the corresponding spaces

$F_{\omega,\omega}^A = \{ (r, c) : r : \omega \rightarrow \omega \cup A, c : \omega \rightarrow \omega, c \text{ is an increasing injection: } r(c(x)) = x \text{ and } y \leq c(x) \Rightarrow r(y) \leq x \}$

$F_{\omega,K}^A = \{ (s, j) : s : \omega \rightarrow K \cup A, j : K \rightarrow \omega : j \text{ is an increasing injection such that } s(j(x)) = x, y \leq j(x) \Rightarrow s(y) \leq x \}$.

Note that A is not in the domain of the increasing injections.

Now given A a finite, possibly empty alphabet, we consider the corresponding spaces

$F_{\omega,\omega}^A = \{ (r, c) : r : \omega \rightarrow \omega \cup A, c : \omega \rightarrow \omega, c \text{ is an increasing injection: } r(c(x)) = x \text{ and } y \leq c(x) \Rightarrow r(y) \leq x \}$

$F_{\omega,K}^A = \{ (s, j) : s : \omega \rightarrow K \cup A, j : K \rightarrow \omega : j \text{ is an increasing injection such that } s(j(x)) = x, y \leq j(x) \Rightarrow s(y) \leq x \}$.

Note that A is not in the domain of the increasing injections.

For $(r, c) \in F_{\omega,\omega}^A$ we define

$(r, c)_A^\omega = \{ (r', c') : (r', c') \leq (r, c) : (r', c') \in F_{\omega,\omega}^A \}$

Now given A a finite, possibly empty alphabet, we consider the corresponding spaces

$F_{\omega,\omega}^A = \{ (r, c) : r : \omega \rightarrow \omega \cup A, c : \omega \rightarrow \omega, c \text{ is an increasing injection: } r(c(x)) = x \text{ and } y \leq c(x) \Rightarrow r(y) \leq x \}$

$F_{\omega,K}^A = \{ (s, j) : s : \omega \rightarrow K \cup A, j : K \rightarrow \omega : j \text{ is an increasing injection such that } s(j(x)) = x, y \leq j(x) \Rightarrow s(y) \leq x \}$.

Note that A is not in the domain of the increasing injections.

For $(r, c) \in F_{\omega,\omega}^A$ we define

$(r, c)_A^\omega = \{ (r', c') : (r', c') \leq (r, c) : (r', c') \in F_{\omega,\omega}^A \}$

For $k \in \omega$,

$(r, c)_A^K = \{ (s, j) \in F_{\omega,K}^A : (s, j) \leq (r', c'), (r', c') \in (r, c)_A^\omega \}$.

Let now $(r, c)_A^* = \{ (t, \emptyset) : (t, \emptyset) \preceq (r', c'), (r', c') \in (r, c)_A^\omega \}$ and if the length of t is M , then $r'(M) = 0, t \upharpoonright M \subseteq A$. By \emptyset emphasize that the increasing injections in the second coordinate do not have A in their domain.

Let now $(r, c)_A^* = \{ (t, \emptyset) : (t, \emptyset) \preceq (r', c'), (r', c') \in (r, c)_A^\omega \}$ and if the length of t is M , then $r'(M) = 0, t \upharpoonright M \subseteq A$. By \emptyset emphasize that the increasing injections in the second coordinate do not have A in their domain.

$[r, c]_A^L = \{ (t, i) : (t, i) \preceq (r', c') \text{ where } (r', c') \in (r, c)_A^\omega, \text{ the domain of } i \text{ is equal to } L \text{ and } r'(lh(t, i)) = L \}$.

Let $(t, i) \preceq (r, c), (t, i) \in [r, c]_A^L$, where its length is M and the domain of i is equal to L i.e. $(t, i) \in F_{M,L}^A$, by $(t^*, i^*) \in (r, c)_A^{L+1}$ we mean the unique predecessor of (r, c) on which i^* has domain equal to $L + 1$, $i^* \upharpoonright L = i \upharpoonright L$, $t^* \upharpoonright M = t \upharpoonright M \subseteq \{0, \dots, L - 1\}$ $t^*(M) = L$ and $r(\text{lh}(t^*, i^*)) = L + 1$.

Let $(t, i) \preceq (r, c), (t, i) \in [r, c]_A^L$, where its length is M and the domain of i is equal to L i.e. $(t, i) \in F_{M,L}^A$, by $(t^*, i^*) \in (r, c)_A^{L+1}$ we mean the unique predecessor of (r, c) on which i^* has domain equal to $L + 1$, $i^* \upharpoonright L = i \upharpoonright L$, $t^* \upharpoonright M = t \upharpoonright M \subseteq \{0, \dots, L - 1\}$ $t^*(M) = L$ and $r(\text{lh}(t^*, i^*)) = L + 1$.
 $(s, j) \cdot (r, c) = (s \circ r, c \circ j)$ so the order of composition in the two coordinates is not the same.

Ramsey Theorem

Let l, K be natural numbers. For any l -coloring of all increasing injections $j : K \rightarrow \omega$ there exists an increasing injection $j_0 : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that the set $\{j_0 \circ j : j : K \rightarrow \omega\}$ is monochromatic.

Ramsey Theorem

Let l, K be natural numbers. For any l -coloring of all increasing injections $j : K \rightarrow \omega$ there exists an increasing injection $j_0 : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that the set $\{j_0 \circ j : j : K \rightarrow \omega\}$ is monochromatic.

Graham-Rothschild

Let l, K, L, M be natural numbers. For any l -coloring of all rigid surjections $s : K \rightarrow L$ there exists a rigid surjection $s_0 : K \rightarrow M$ such that the set $\{t \circ s_0 : t : M \rightarrow L \text{ a rigid surjection}\}$ is monochromatic

Carlson-Simpson

Let l a natural number. For any l -coloring of all rigid surjections $s : \omega \rightarrow K$ there exists a rigid surjection $s_0 : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that the set $\{ s \circ s_0 : s : \omega \rightarrow K \}$ is monochromatic.

Carlson-Simpson

Let l a natural number. For any l -coloring of all rigid surjections $s : \omega \rightarrow K$ there exists a rigid surjection $s_0 : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that the set $\{s \circ s_0 : s : \omega \rightarrow K\}$ is monochromatic.

Solecki

For any finite coloring of $F_{K,L}$, there exists $(s_0, j_0) \in F_{K,M}$ such that the set $\{(t, i) \circ (s_0, j_0) : (t, i) \in F_{M,L}\}$ is monochromatic.

THEOREM

Let $l > 0$ be a natural number. Let K be a finite linear order. For each l -coloring of all connections between ω and K , that is Borel, there exists a connection $(r_0, c_0) : \omega \leftrightarrow \omega$ such that the set $\{(s, j) \cdot (r_0, c_0) : (s, j) : \omega \leftrightarrow K\}$ is monochromatic.

Theorem 1

If $F_{\omega, K}^A = C_0 \cup \dots \cup C_{l-1}$ where each C_i is Borel, then there exists $(r_0, c_0) \in F_{\omega, \omega}^A$ such that $(r_0, c_0)_A^K \subseteq C_k$ for some $k \in I$.

Theorem 1

If $F_{\omega, K}^A = C_0 \cup \dots \cup C_{l-1}$ where each C_i is Borel, then there exists $(r_0, c_0) \in F_{\omega, \omega}^A$ such that $(r_0, c_0)_A^K \subseteq C_k$ for some $k \in I$.

proof

By induction on K

Lemma 1, $K=0$

If $(r, c) \in F_{\omega, \omega}^A$ and $(r, c)_A^0 = C_0 \cup \dots \cup C_{l-1}$ where each C_k is Borel, then there exists $(r', c') \in (r, c)_A^\omega$ such that $(r', c')_A^0 \subseteq C_k$ for some $k \in I$.

Lemma 1, $K=0$

If $(r, c) \in F_{\omega, \omega}^A$ and $(r, c)_A^0 = C_0 \cup \dots \cup C_{l-1}$ where each C_k is Borel, then there exists $(r', c') \in (r, c)_A^\omega$ such that $(r', c')_A^0 \subseteq C_k$ for some $k \in I$.

proof

Note that the coloring does not depend on the second coordinate so in particular this theorem reduces to the Carlson-Simpson theorem.

Let now A be a finite alphabet.

Let now A be a finite alphabet.

By W_A we denote the set of all words over A of finite length i.e. all finite strings of elements of A .

Let now A be a finite alphabet.

By W_A we denote the set of all words over A of finite length i.e. all finite strings of elements of A .

By W_{Lv} the set of all variable words over A , i.e. all finite strings of elements of $A \cup \{v\}$ in which v occurs at least once.

Let now A be a finite alphabet.

By W_A we denote the set of all words over A of finite length i.e. all finite strings of elements of A .

By W_{Lv} the set of all variable words over A , i.e. all finite strings of elements of $A \cup \{v\}$ in which v occurs at least once.

For an infinite sequence $X = (x_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of elements of W_{Lv} , by $[X]_A$ we denote the partial semigroup of W_A generated by X as follows:

$$[X]_A = \{ x_{n_0}(\alpha_0) \frown \cdots \frown x_{n_k}(\alpha_k) : n_0 < \cdots < n_k, \alpha_i \in A(i \leq k) \}.$$

Let now A be a finite alphabet.

By W_A we denote the set of all words over A of finite length i.e. all finite strings of elements of A .

By W_{L_V} the set of all variable words over A , i.e. all finite strings of elements of $A \cup \{v\}$ in which v occurs at least once.

For an infinite sequence $X = (x_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of elements of W_{L_V} , by $[X]_A$ we denote the partial semigroup of W_A generated by X as follows:
 $[X]_A = \{x_{n_0}(\alpha_0) \frown \cdots \frown x_{n_k}(\alpha_k) : n_0 < \cdots < n_k, \alpha_i \in A (i \leq k)\}$.

LVWT, (Todorcevic)

Let A be a finite alphabet, then for any finite coloring of W_A there is an infinite sequence $X = (x_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of left variable-words and a variable free word w_0 such that the translate $w_0 \frown [X]_A$ of the partial semigroup of W_A generated by X is monochromatic.

Lemma 2

If $(r, c) \in F_{\omega, \omega}^A$ and $(r, c)_A^* = C_0 \cup \dots \cup C_{l-1}$, then there exists $(r', c') \in (r, c)_A^\omega$ such that $(r', c')_A^* \subseteq C_k$ for some k .

Lemma 2

If $(r, c) \in F_{\omega, \omega}^A$ and $(r, c)_A^* = C_0 \cup \dots \cup C_{l-1}$, then there exists $(r', c') \in (r, c)_A^\omega$ such that $(r', c')_A^* \subseteq C_k$ for some k .

proof

Code each element of $(r, c)_A^*$ by a word in W_A and color W_A accordingly.

Lemma 2

If $(r, c) \in F_{\omega, \omega}^A$ and $(r, c)_A^* = C_0 \cup \dots \cup C_{l-1}$, then there exists $(r', c') \in (r, c)_A^\omega$ such that $(r', c')_A^* \subseteq C_k$ for some k .

proof

Code each element of $(r, c)_A^*$ by a word in W_A and color W_A accordingly.

By LVWT we get an infinite sequence $X = (x_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of left variable-words and a variable free word w_0 such that the translate $w_0 \frown [X]_A$ of the partial semigroup of W_A generated by X is monochromatic.

Lemma 2

If $(r, c) \in F_{\omega, \omega}^A$ and $(r, c)_A^* = C_0 \cup \dots \cup C_{l-1}$, then there exists $(r', c') \in (r, c)_A^\omega$ such that $(r', c')_A^* \subseteq C_k$ for some k .

proof

Code each element of $(r, c)_A^*$ by a word in W_A and color W_A accordingly.

By LVWT we get an infinite sequence $X = (x_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of left variable-words and a variable free word w_0 such that the translate $w_0 \frown [X]_A$ of the partial semigroup of W_A generated by X is monochromatic.

Consider the infinite word $w_0 \frown x_0 \frown \dots \frown x_n \frown \dots$. If at the i -th and j -th positions of the above infinite word there is a variable, where $i, j \in [lh(w_0 \frown \dots \frown x_n), lh(w_0 \frown \dots \frown x_{n+1})]$, then identify them in the equivalence relation. If in the i -th position there is a letter $\alpha \in A$ then $X_{r'}(i) = \alpha$. The resulting equivalence relation is such that $(X_{r'})_A^* \subseteq C_k$ for fixed k .

Induction step

Assuming theorem 2 holds for $F_{\omega, K}^{A+1}$, where $A+1$ denotes a finite alphabet of cardinality $|A|+1$. Then Main Theorem holds for $F_{\omega, K+1}^A$.

Induction step

Assuming theorem 2 holds for $F_{\omega, K}^{A+1}$, where $A+1$ denotes a finite alphabet of cardinality $|A|+1$. Then Main Theorem holds for $F_{\omega, K+1}^A$.

proof

Let $F_{\omega, K+1}^A = C_0 \cup \dots \cup C_{l-1}$ be a Borel coloring. There exists a canonical homeomorphism between $[(t^*, i^*), (r', c')]_A^{K+1}$ and $F_{\omega, K}^{A+1}$.

Induction step

Assuming theorem 2 holds for $F_{\omega, K}^{A+1}$, where $A+1$ denotes a finite alphabet of cardinality $|A|+1$. Then Main Theorem holds for $F_{\omega, K+1}^A$.

proof

Let $F_{\omega, K+1}^A = C_0 \cup \dots \cup C_{l-1}$ be a Borel coloring. There exists a canonical homeomorphism between $[(t^*, i^*), (r', c')]_A^{K+1}$ and $F_{\omega, K}^{A+1}$. We can construct by recursion $(r, c) \in F_{\omega, \omega}$ such that for all $(t, i) \in (r, c)_A^*$, $[(t^*, i^*), (r, c)]_A^{K+1} \subseteq C_h$ for some $h \in I$ depending on (t, i) .

Induction step

Assuming theorem 2 holds for $F_{\omega, K}^{A+1}$, where $A+1$ denotes a finite alphabet of cardinality $|A|+1$. Then Main Theorem holds for $F_{\omega, K+1}^A$.

proof

Let $F_{\omega, K+1}^A = C_0 \cup \dots \cup C_{l-1}$ be a Borel coloring. There exists a canonical homeomorphism between $[(t^*, i^*), (r', c')]_A^{K+1}$ and $F_{\omega, K}^{A+1}$. We can construct by recursion $(r, c) \in F_{\omega, \omega}$ such that for all $(t, i) \in (r, c)_A^*$, $[(t^*, i^*), (r, c)]_A^{K+1} \subseteq C_h$ for some $h \in I$ depending on (t, i) .

Then the last Lemma implies that we get an $(r', c') \in (r, c)_A^\omega$ such that $(r', c')_A^* \subseteq C_{h'}$ for some fixed h' and therefore (r', c') is the desired one.

Theorem

$\langle F_{\omega,\omega}, u, \preceq \rangle$, where $u : F_{\omega,\omega} \times \omega \rightarrow \mathcal{A}F_{\omega,\omega} = \bigcup_{N \leq M} F_{M,N}$ by $u_N((r, c)) = (t, i), (t, i) \in F_{M,N}, (t, i) \preceq (r, c)$.
is a topological Ramsey space

Theorem

$\langle F_{\omega,\omega}, u, \preceq \rangle$, where $u : F_{\omega,\omega} \times \omega \rightarrow \mathcal{A}F_{\omega,\omega} = \bigcup_{N \leq M} F_{M,N}$ by $u_N((r, c)) = (t, i), (t, i) \in F_{M,N}, (t, i) \preceq (r, c)$.
is a topological Ramsey space

Theorem

Let $F_{\omega,\omega} = C_0 \cup \dots \cup C_{l-1}$ be a Baire or Suslin measurable coloring.
There exists $(r, c) \in F_{\omega,\omega}$ such that $(r, c)^\omega$ is monochromatic.

- 1 $F_{\omega, K}$ does not form a topological Ramsey space

- 1 $F_{\omega, K}$ does not form a topological Ramsey space
- 2 Main Theorem holds for Suslin measurable colorings

- 1 $F_{\omega, K}$ does not form a topological Ramsey space
- 2 Main Theorem holds for Suslin measurable colorings
- 3 $[(t, i)] = \{ (s, j) \in F_{\omega, K} : (t, i) \preceq (s, j) \text{ and domain of } i \text{ is equal to } K \}$.

- 1 $F_{\omega, K}$ does not form a topological Ramsey space
- 2 Main Theorem holds for Suslin measurable colorings
- 3 $[(t, i)] = \{ (s, j) \in F_{\omega, K} : (t, i) \preceq (s, j) \text{ and domain of } i \text{ is equal to } K \}$.

Theorem

$c : F_{\omega, K} \rightarrow h$ is a finite coloring that is Baire measurable relative to the topology defined just above. Then there exists an $(r, c) \in F_{\omega, \omega}$ such that the family $F_{\omega, K} | (r, c) = \{ (s, j) \in F_{\omega, K} : (s, j) \leq (r, c) \}$ is c -monochromatic.

Our Infinite Self Dual Ramsey Theorems hold in the realm of Baire measurable colorings.