

Motivation for amortized analysis

DFS (G graph, $v \in V(G)$)

M an array of marked vertices $m[v]=1$
 $m[u]=0 \forall u$
 P array of Parents $P[v]=v$
 $P[u]=Null$

S a stack = $[v]$

While $S \neq \emptyset$ Do

$t = \text{top}(S)$

While $m[\text{firstnbr}(t)] = 1$ Do

delete firstnbr of t

if neighbors(t) $\neq \emptyset$:

$u = \text{firstnbr}$ of t

$m[u] = 1$, $P[u] = t$

$S.$ push(u)

else $S.$ pop()

sloppy analysis = $O(n^2)$

sum amount of work done
every time a vertex x is at
top of the stack - we're
doing a total of $O(\text{deg}(x))$
work.

\Rightarrow complexity is $O\left(\sum_{x \in V} \text{deg}(x)\right)$
 $= O(n+m)$

Def amortized analysis averages
The time required to perform a
sequence of data structure operations
over all the operations performed.

3 main techniques of doing this analysis:

- aggregate analysis
- accounting method
- potential method

I aggregate analysis

total cost is $T(n)$ find
average cost $\frac{T(n)}{n}$

consider operations on a stack S

- pop from stack - ~~remove~~
- remove top element & return it if $S \neq \emptyset$

- + otherwise return null
- push - push an element onto top of stack
- multi-pop(k) - remove top k elements of the stack & return them if $|S| \geq k$ otherwise remove all elts of the stack & delete them.

Q what is the complexity of a sequence of n push, pop & multipop operations?

push - $O(1)$

pop - $O(1)$

multipop - $O(k) = O(n)$ because stack always has $\leq n$ elts.

trivial bound is

$$O(n \cdot n)$$

of operations \uparrow worst case on each operation \uparrow

$$= O(n^2)$$

we can't have n operations of multipop each costing $O(n)$ complexity because

of pops total in all multipops + individual pops put together is at most the total # of pushes

$T_1(n) :=$ amt of work in pushes

$T_2(n) =$ amt of work in pops

$$T_2(n) \leq T_1(n)$$

total amount of work is $O(n)$

+ amortized cost of each step

$$is O(1) = O\left(\frac{T_1(n)}{n}\right)$$

Note This is not probabilistic

we have worst-case bound on amt of work to do.

k-bit binary counter

0 0 0 0 1
0 0 0 1 0
0 0 0 1 1
0 0 1 0 0
0 0 1 0 1
0 0 1 1 0
⋮

↓ bit_i

Increment (A)

$i = 0$

while $i < A.length() + A[i] = 1$

$A[i] = 0$

$i++$

if $i < A.length()$

$A[i] = 1$

Sloppy analysis on run-time

$O(k)$ work + w/ n updates
(w/ $n \leq 2^k$)

$O(k \cdot n)$ work total

we are certainly not doing $O(k)$ work at each step - in fact we do just one bit-flip every other increment.

How much work is done for the i^{th} bit over the series of n operations?

bit 1 flips every single time
= n flips

bit 2 flips $n/2$ times

bit 3 flips $n/4$ times

i^{th} bit flips $\lfloor \frac{n}{2^i} \rfloor$

= total cost for i^{th} bit

\Rightarrow amortized cost for i^{th} bit

$$\lfloor \frac{n}{2^i} \rfloor \cdot \frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{2^i}$$

\Rightarrow amortized cost for a single increment of the counter

= $\sum_{i=1}^k$ amortized cost of increment for i^{th} bit

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{2^i} \leq 1$$

\Rightarrow Cost for n updates is $O(n)$

II accounting method

assign a cost to each subroutine
(possibly more or less than the actual complexity theoretic costs)

- if operation requires less work than the assigned cost, we "bank" whatever work is not used

- if an operation requires more work than the assigned cost, we make-up the shortfall from the excess stored in the bank.

- Trick assign "correct" costs to each subroutine to balance everything out

Stack operations

	complexity	cost assigned
push	1	2
pop	1	0
multi-pop (k)	k	0

We need to show that in any series of operations, we always have enough stored in the bank to allow us to make up any shortfall

Pop after a series of n operations

The amount extra stored in the bank = $|S|$

Pf by induction on n

$n=1$

push - stack has length 1
 we have 1 in bank ✓
 pop/multi-pop 0 work, $S = \emptyset$
 + 0 in bank ✓

assume we have a sequence of $n+1$ operations & by induction after first n of them, bank has $|S|$ in it.

3 cases for $n+1$ operation

- push → stack has size +1 + bank also has 1
- pop → to do operation, take k out of the bank
- multi-pop. → + we take k off stack. maintaining

maintaining parity between bank & stack.

Cor bank always sufficient resources to perform any sequence of operation

Complexity of a series of operations is

\leq worst case cost of a series of operations

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^n 2 = 2n = O(n)$$

Ex use accounting method to analyze incrementing a binary counter

hint pick the right cost to charge so that at each pass we only charge constant

increment(A)

$i = 0$

while $i < A.length() + A[i] = 1$

charge 0
→

$A[i] = 0$

$i++$

charge 2 if
→

$i < A.length()$

$A[i] = 1$

flip to 1 - cost of 2
flip to 0 - cost of 0

to prove correctness, we still need to show that the reserve in the bank always covers # switches to 0 we have to do.

to do this prove by induction:

bank = # of ones in the string
 specifically, this is ≥ 0 + has enough to flip the first j instances of 1 to be 0

III Potential method

let D_i be the state of our data structure after i steps

Def a potential function $\Phi(D_i) \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\hat{c}_i := c_i + \underbrace{\Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1})}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{cost of arriving} \\ \text{at } D_i \text{ from} \\ D_{i-1}}}}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{c}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \underbrace{\Phi(D_n) - \Phi(D_0)}_{\text{cancel out}}$$

This is what we want to calculate -

cost of arriving at the final instance D_n

We want an upper bound on $\sum c_i$
 if we additionally choose our potential function Φ st $-\Phi(D_0) = 0$

$$- \underline{\Phi}(D_0) = 0$$

$$- \underline{\Phi}(D_i) \geq 0 \quad \forall i$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{c}_i$$

intuitively, this is similar to accounting method $\$$

- if $\underline{\Phi}(D_i) > \underline{\Phi}(D_{i-1})$ we're putting additional "money" into the bank

- if $\underline{\Phi}(D_i) < \underline{\Phi}(D_{i-1})$ we've withdrawn from bank to make up any shortfalls

Stack operations

$\underline{\Phi}(D_i) := \#$ items in the stack

$$\underline{\Phi}(D_0) = 0$$

$$\underline{\Phi}(D_i) \geq 0 \quad \forall i$$

$$\hat{c}_i = c_i + \underline{\Phi}(D_i) - \underline{\Phi}(D_{i-1})$$

if i^{th} operation

- push

- multipop

i^{th} operation is a push

$$\hat{C}_i = 1 + (\overline{\Phi}(D_i) + 1) - \overline{\Phi}(D_{i-1})$$
$$= 2$$

if i^{th} operation is instead a multipop

$$\hat{C}_i = k + \overline{\Phi}(D_i) - \overline{\Phi}(D_{i-1})$$
$$= k + (\overline{\Phi}(D_{i-1}) - k) - \overline{\Phi}(D_{i-1})$$
$$= 0$$

